

Oxford Democrat.

No. 16, Vol. 4, New Series.

Paris, Maine, Tuesday, August 27, 1844.

Old Series, No. 26, Vol. 13.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT,

PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY, BY

G. W. MALL.

EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.

TERMS:—One Dollar and Fifty Cents in advance. Advertisements inserted on reasonable terms. The Proprietor not being accountable for any error beyond the amount charged for the advertisement. A reasonable deduction will be made for cash in advance.

Book and Job Printing

EXECUTED WITH NEATNESS AND DESPATCH.

Gov. Dorr's Sentence.

"THAT THE SAID THOMAS W. DORR BE IMPRISONED IN THE STATE PRISON, AT PROVIDENCE, IN THE COUNTY OF PROVIDENCE, FOR THE TERM OF HIS NATURAL LIFE, AND THERE BE KEPT AT HARD LABOR, IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT."

POLITICAL.

SPEECH OF

MR. HAMLIN,
OF MAINE.

In the House of Representatives, April 15, 1844.

On the army appropriation bill, and in reply to Mr. Morse, upon the professions, practices, and principles of the Federal party.

[CONCLUDED.]

That the restrictive policy of England has served mainly, or much, to produce this state of things, had always been contended by those who did not live and fall by it. A few authorities from the debates of Parliament, which could be increased to volumes, must answer. Upon the distresses of that country, and the causes, Mr. Robinson said in the House of Commons:

"He had always given it as his opinion that the restrictive system of commerce in this country (England) was founded in error, and calculated to defeat the object for which it was adopted."

Mr. Beaumont said:

"Much of the evil under which the country now labored might be traced to the commercial system; and the sooner it was improved the better."

The Marquis of Lansdowne, in the House of Lords, in a most able speech, exhibiting the benefits of free trade, and of the best manner of removing distress, said:

"The circumstances which he had mentioned must be kept in view, when his lordship's attention was directed to find a remedy for the distress which he had described. The most obvious remedy was to create a demand for labor, and to encourage and extend our foreign trade, by removing some of those restrictions by which it was shackled."

Again, in describing the agricultural distress in England, Mr. Lewis said, in the House of Commons:

"Excessive taxation was the great reason for all the distress that was complained of. Now he must observe that this system of protection acted as a tax on consumable commodities. This was what the consumer felt; and this increased price did not go to supply any exigency of the State, but went to the landholder. This was the true state of the case. It was a tax on the prime necessities of life, and interfered with that upon which all labor depended. It was the worst species of tax, as it was not levied for purposes of state, but to serve and assist a particular class of persons."

Mr. Ward, in speaking in the House of Commons on the distress of this country, says:

"Every one of those men thought and said that their distress was occasioned by free exchange not being allowed between their produce and that of other countries."

Viscount Howick, in the House of Lords, upon the subject of the distress of the country, said:

"He believed that, by relaxation of the restrictions which still confined our foreign trade, by a larger and wider alteration of the corn laws than that had yet been proposed, Parliament might do much to mitigate the existing distress."

One other extract from a leading English journal:

"At this moment there are more than a million and a half of paupers. We have a population of ten millions who live on potatoes and oatmeal, and some millions beside who eat much less wheat bread than they would do if they could obtain it."

This condition of the people of England is considered by her wisest and best men to be almost wholly caused by their restrictive system."

These authorities proved the conclusion to which he had arrived; and even there the community were arising to assert and demand their rights. There the people were moving on for freedom of trade and equality of rights, as did our fathers. For this question of taxation was one of the great and fundamental principles of our revolution—one of the great questions that kindled up the fire of liberty in all the land. It was the tax on tea that made the harbor at Boston a receptacle for that weed. In fact, in every great struggle for popular liberty, directly or indirectly the taxing system—the oppressive systems of taxation—had formed a material and substantial feature.

The time allotted to him (a limitation for which he never had and never would vote) would not allow him to go fully into details, nor did he desire it. His principal object was to point out the design and effect of the great principles of the law—show that it was a tax measure, imposing the burthens of government upon the less wealthy portion of the people, by taxing necessities, and luxuries and articles of fancy and ornament low. By adding the duty paid at the custom-house, you increase the price by the amount of duty added. Look at the manner first, in which duties are laid on raw materials, and then on the same article after it is manufactured.

Why this oppression, injustice, and iniquity upon the farmer? Why legislate money from his pocket into the pocket of the manufacturer, or any other class? The table presented was compiled by the honorable senator from New Hampshire, Mr. Woodbury.

Duties discriminating for manufactures and against agriculture, &c.

Agriculture and other raw materials, low.	The manufactured materials, high.
Hides, 5 per cent.	Leather, 35 per cent.
Lined, 5 per cent.	Lined oil, 25 per cent.
Wool, cheap, 5 per cent.	Woolens, 25 to 30 per cent.
Cork, bark, free	Cork, made, 25 to 30 per cent.
Rags, for paper, 1 ct. per lb.	Paper, 15 to 17 cents per lb.
Quills, unprepared, 15 per ct.	Quills, prepared, 25 per cent.
Silk, raw, 50 cents per lb.	Silks, 22 50, &c.
Bristles, 1 cent per lb.	Brushes, 30 per cent.
Brass, crude, free	Brass, manuf'd, 30 per cent.
Hemp, 30 to 32 per cent.	Kettles, 12 cts. per lb.
Cotton, 3 cts., or 33 to 25 p. ct.	Cordage, 100 to 150 per ct.
Flax, raw, 1 ct. or 7 to 9 p. ct.	Cotton baling, 50 to 60 p. ct.
Lead, crude, 14 to 15 cts. p. lb.	Flax, manuf'd, 25 to 50 p. ct.
Tin, crude, in pigs, 1 per ct.	Cotton cloth, 50 to 120 p. ct.
Wool, rough, 20 per cent.	Lead, pipes, &c., 4 cts. p. lb.
	White & red, 4 cts.
	Tin, in plates, 24 per cent.
	Wood, manuf'd, 30 per cent.

*\$4,118,000 were the imports of only three articles out of the fifteen, in 1840—viz:

Hides	\$2,756,214
Cheep wool (under 8 cents)	675,000
Hemp (all kinds)	686,777
	\$4,118,000

The law was not designed to benefit agriculture, nor, indeed, could it essentially do so. Agricultural productions constituted the great articles of export. It was true that, upon some articles of agricultural production, prohibitory duties were laid; but being articles which we exported, and with which we could nearly supply the world, it was of little or no benefit. Indeed, Mr. Clay had said the same thing in addition to his abuse of that class of our citizens. Hear him:

"Agriculture needs no protection. The habits of farmers, generation after generation, pass down a long track of time in perpetual succession, without the slightest change; and the ploughman who fastens his plough to the tail of his cattle will not own there is any improvement equal to his."

This was the language used in favor of protecting manufactures, because they would improve in machinery and manufacturing. But let the farmer go—he did not need any protection!

Again: Mr. H. asserted that if any one would take the tariff act, or tax act, and carefully examine it, he could not fail to observe that the common and necessary articles entering into the consumption of farmers, mechanics, and laborers, were taxed highest; luxuries and ornaments were taxed low, or free. Was this feature of the system "incidental" or designed? Examine some of the taxes on prime necessities and articles of common use.

Molasses is taxed 51 per cent.—Brown sugar, 71 per cent. Nutmegs, 55 per cent. Black pepper, 130 per cent. Pimento, 92 per cent. Hnts, 43 per cent. Window glass, 8 by 10, 62 per cent; 10 by 12, 76 per cent; all above 12 by 18, 195 per cent. Salt, from 60 to 130 per cent. Iron, 32 to 250 per cent.—Sole leather, 53 per cent. Children's boots and shoes, 90 per cent. Cheap ingrained carpets, 90 per cent. Logging chains, 160 per cent.—Trace-chains, 144 per cent. Woollen goods from 40 to 67 per cent. This was but a small list, which could be extended to many, very many other articles, but here were facts and figures enough to show the general principles of the measure. We must not, however, omit to state that the better or finer the article, the lower the tax or duty. Common cottons were taxed from 50 to 150 per cent. for the use of the poor; finer and nicer cottons were taxed or paid a duty of 30 per cent. It was so with woollens. Gold watch-chains, 7 per cent. Logging chains 160! Such was one of the black features running through the whole law. Protection to labor is the false cry of the federal party; and here we have that protection which the wolf gives to the lamb, the vulture to its prey. But look at the other side of this picture. Turn from the taxes imposed upon necessary and common articles, and look at luxuries and ornaments, before you can fully appreciate all the inherent beauties of federal protection. Thread laces pay a duty or tax of 15 per cent. Trimming laces, 20 per cent. Finest Wilton carpets, 34 per cent. Laces of gold and silver, 15 per cent. Embroidered articles, 20 per cent. Plate glass, 8 by 12, 6 per cent; 10 by 14, 6 per cent; 14 by 22, 13 per cent. Diamonds, SEVEN AND A HALF per cent. Gold watches and parts of watches, 7 1-2 per cent. Gems, pearls, and precious stones, 7 1-2 per cent. Gold and silver leaf, 20 per cent. Gold and silver knots, tassels, stars, &c., 15 per cent. Books, Latin and Greek, 13 per cent.—Books printed in other languages than Latin, Greek, Hebrew or English, 5 per cent. Epaulettes of gold or silver, philosophical apparatus, paintings, drawings, engravings, &c., none of all duty or tax. Why was it that such principles of inequality should exist, and why were they enacted? Why these onerous and oppressive taxes upon common and necessary articles, while luxuries are taxed low, or not at all? One great reason why the unequal provisions of the law obtained was, that those who were interested swarmed around the Capitol, and importuned and labored until success crowned their efforts. It was because the agricultural and laboring classes did not come there and beg for partial laws and special favors. Capitalists, more active and more clamorous, were always on the alert, and demanding, and too often securing, additional bounties. They sought to enrich themselves at the expense of labor; and the system tended to degrade the laborer, by making him in a manner dependent, and compelling him to pay more for all he purchased. Such a course would kill labor with its "protection."

Because this system was adopted as the best

one, on the whole, by which to pay off our national debt, it is now seized upon as the permanent one for the country. To pay our national debt, incurred in the defence of our homes and our liberties, the American people would bear taxing to the very lips; but because they will bear and cheerfully pay such taxes, it in no manner follows that it is right in principle, or that they will consent, to tax one class for the benefit of another. What was paid in the one case was right; in the other, it was gross, rank injustice—the essence of oppression. The certainty of defeat, in the other end of the Capitol, of any law modifying and improving this measure, and collateral causes, might prevent it here; but it would not change the principle; and time would accomplish it, most certainly.

But one other view. Maine was a State much and vitally interested in commerce, in shipbuilding, and in fishing. In tonnage, it was the third State in the Union; in its fisheries, the third State; in its productions of the forest, the second; in its seamen that navigate the ocean, and depend on commerce, the second; and in its shipbuilding, first. Taxes upon commerce were taxes upon navigation; and the present law came to that point at which commerce had languished, and in many cases it had amounted to prohibition, at which commerce must die. By the high duties imposed upon many articles, the fisheries of Maine were deeply injured. The hardy and honest fishermen could not now send their fish to various countries where they were formerly. This law had so restricted or taxed the articles for which they once exchanged their fish, that they cannot now send them abroad. But it was the enormous duty which shipbuilders were compelled to pay to which he invited attention.—Maine was the greatest shipbuilding State, and deeply did it affect her labor as well as her commerce. We could live down this system of "tinkering" with the laws of trade. The energy and perseverance of our people, and the varied and inexhaustible resources of the country were such, that the vilest system, the most oppressive system, could be lived down. We were tripping over the burdens and restrictions of this law and the evils of a collapsed currency, and we should live it down; yet it was unjust and oppressive, and should be amended and revised. It was an extreme measure, and should not remain. A medium—a compromise course—the very course by which our government was founded—was the measure which should be the settled policy avoiding alike each extreme. The following table was prepared by an honorable member of the House for him, who had for many years been engaged in shipbuilding. It did not include many little articles, which would add considerable to the aggregate of duties; but it presented the great sums and great items—enough to show its hideous deformity, and one effect of "tinkering" with the laws of trade—its startling injustice.—His rule was justice to all—bounties and privileges to none—"millions for defence, not one cent for tribute."

A comparative statement of the cost and duties paid on the following articles used in the construction of a ship of 500 tons, a brig of 250 tons, and schooner of 100 tons.

Class.	Cost.	Duty.
Ship of 500 tons—		
30,000 lbs. iron	\$1,600	524
3,500 lbs. copper, &c.	875	140
20,000 lbs. cordage	2,000	1,000
22,000 lbs. chains and anchors	1,550	550
63 pieces sail duck	750	75
	\$6,775	\$3,290
Brig of 250 tons—		
15,000 lbs. iron	800	315
2,000 lbs. copper, &c.	500	80
11,000 lbs. cordage	1,100	550
10,500 lbs. chains and anchors	650	262
45 pieces sail duck	650	67
	\$3,500	\$1,274
Schooner of 100 tons—		
10,000 lbs. iron	600	175
800 lbs. copper, &c.	200	32
5,000 lbs. cordage	500	250
5,000 lbs. chains and anchors	300	125
24 pieces sail duck	410	35
	\$1,010	\$617

Such were some of the evils, either designed by the authors of the law, or following as the certain effect. Such were the evils of the measure which render it odious indeed. Gentlemen claimed upon the other side, and he would concede that many of our most learned men of the Union belonged to that party. It was true; and why had not some one, in some age or country, possessed boldness enough, to give us an elementary work upon political economy, showing that you did not increase the price of articles by adding to the cost at the custom-house; and that high taxes were conducive to the welfare of the people. Such was federal doctrine in practice. Why not honestly and boldly avow it? Where is this system of "tinkering" to end?

JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, in his report on manufactures, in 1832, had placed this matter in its true light—

"The doctrine that duties of import cheapen the price of the articles upon which they are levied, seems to conflict with the first dictates of common sense. But its supporters first appeal with confidence to the fact that most of the articles upon which additional duties were levied by the tariff of 1828 have, since that time, considerably fallen in price; and then argue that it must be so by the excitement of competition in the market. It is certainly contrary to the natural course of things, that to a very great extent, the relative proportion of the demand and supply; and consequently, the price of the article. No safe conclusion can be drawn from the fact, that subsequent to the tariff of 1828, the prices of the articles upon which the duties were increased, have fallen, unless from other circumstances it can be shown that the increase of the duty was the cause of the fall in

price, nor will it be sufficient to prove so strange a paradox, to account for it by the excitement of competition. Whenever there is a profitable market, there will be competition. Had the tariff of 1828 never been enacted, the competition in our markets would have been as effectual to reduce the prices, as it has been with the aggravation of duties."

"But the duty upon the article imported from abroad enabled the domestic producer to enter into competition with the importer from abroad. So long as this competition continues, the duty operates as a bounty or premium to the domestic manufacturer. But by whom is it paid? Certainly by the purchaser of the article, whether of foreign or domestic manufacture. The duty constitutes a part of the price of the whole mass of the articles in the market. It is substantially paid upon the article of domestic manufacture, as well as upon that of foreign production. Upon one it is a bounty; upon the other a burden; and the repeal of the tax must operate as an equivalent reduction of the price of the article, whether foreign or domestic."

In 1820, before this system had fastened its fangs upon the country, what said even the citizens of Massachusetts? In a large public meeting held in Boston, in that year, an able report was made, and resolutions adopted, expressly, clearly, and distinctly did these resolutions expose this system, that they should be well considered by all. He would select some of the resolutions which were unanimously adopted, and which were supported in a speech by Daniel Webster.—This should be good authority for the other side of the House; and what was true then is true now.

Resolved, That high bounties on such domestic manufactures as are principally benefited by that tariff, favor great capitalists, rather than personal industry, or the owners of small capitals; and therefore we do not perceive its tendency to promote national industry.

Resolved, That we are equally incapable of discovering its beneficial effects on agriculture, since the obvious consequence of its adoption would be, that the farmer must give more than he does for all he buys, and receive less for all he sells.

Resolved, That the imposition of duties which are enormous, and deemed by a large portion of the people to be unjust and oppressive, is dangerous, as it encourages the practice of smuggling.

Resolved, That, in our opinion, the proposed tariff, and the principles on which it is avowedly founded, would, if adopted, have a tendency, however different may be the motives of those who recommend them, to diminish the industry, impede the prosperity, and corrupt the morals of the people.

James T. Austin, and the Hon. Daniel Webster, addressed their fellow-citizens in favor of the report and resolves, in speeches which were distinguished for closeness of argument, variety of illustration, and abundance of fact.

The report was then accepted, and the resolves recommended by the committee unanimously passed.

These were all federal measures past controversy. Mr. Clay, in the last Congress, in his speech against the bankrupt law, after naming all these measures, said:

"To this whole system of measures of relief, and to each of its parts, our opponents made the most strenuous resistance, upon grounds which they, no doubt, considered satisfactory, although we could not concur with them. They would now gladly accomplish the subversion of that system which they could not then (at the extra session) defeat. To assail the whole system, they are perfectly aware, would be unavailing, and have no hope of success but by attacking it in detail. Accordingly we find them all, with but few exceptions, arraying themselves against the bankrupt law, as the entering wedge to the destruction of that entire system."

These were all the cardinal measures of an "entire system." If the country were in favor of such doctrines, be it so. If that policy is to be adopted which had served so much to pauperize and degrade the laborers of England—which had served to create fortunes of untold wealth on the one hand, and beggary and starvation on the other—let it be understood and fairly presented. If a "restoration" of these persons and measures would be satisfactory to an American public, he had, indeed, misunderstood the matter and the public. He could say—

"A day, an hour, of virtuous freedom, Is worth a whole eternity of shackles Such as these."

Assumption of the State Debts and repeal of the Independent Treasury Law.

The distribution of the sales of the public lands was an assumption of the debts of the States so far as the amount went. The debts of the several States amount at least to two hundred millions of dollars, and these were to be assumed by the general government. Many of the federal papers were open and decided in favor of the measure. At this very same 27th Congress a report was made, signed by William Cost Johnson, Meredith P. Gentry, John Quincy Adams, Zadok Casey, James Cooper, Thomas F. Marshall, Calvary Morris, Jacob M. Howard, and James H. Cravens, all whigs, or federalists, recommending this very measure.

The plan, in plain terms, was for the general government to issue its own stock of notes for two hundred millions of dollars, and to give said stock or notes to the States, and to pledge the proceeds of the sales of the public lands to pay the interest and principal; or, in other terms, to sell our public lands and pay State debts to the amount of two hundred millions of dollars, at the same time taxing the people for other moneys with which to pay the ordinary expenses of government. This was creating a vast public debt of \$200,000,000, and then taking the money from the public treasury with which to pay, while, at the same time, the labor of the country is taxed to replace it. This was "protection" to labor, and an incident of a high tariff and bank policy.—And the indication, too, in that House was by no means to be mistaken. No one who did not wilfully shut his eyes could fail to see that the determined, steady, and unflinching opposition of that party to all amendment or reduction of the tariff or tax law was predicated, by many, on the ground that, as the money was now coming into the treasury, there would be a surplus with which to relieve the States. Such, in fact, was the substance of their language. This might well be demon-

strated the climax of protection, as it only required a tax upon the people of \$200,000,000 to replace it.

The 27th Congress repealed the law providing for the security and protection of the public treasury. Perhaps there was no one subject upon which the federal party, in 1840, talked louder or more violently than upon the independent treasury law. It was a law which every State, county, and town in the Union, practically used. It was a law taking the funds of the government from the banks, where they were used for bank purposes, and placing them under the control of government, guarded by careful enactments, and secured by severe penalties. That was, in fact and substance, the independent treasury law.—Yet, if the thousand one lamentations of the federal party, who could no longer have government money to trade and speculate upon, were to be regarded, this was union of the "purse and sword." And now did they remedy and correct this horrid union? They repealed the law, and left the public treasury without legal enactments and safeguards to protect it; left it entirely in the control of the Secretary and of the President, uniting the purse and the sword most emphatically; removing every penalty and breaking down every legal protection of the same. Yet his colleague had talked of consistency! political consistency! and this was an illustration of the consistency of his party.

THEY INCREASED THE PUBLIC EXPENDITURE.

CREATED A PUBLIC DEBT. SQUANDERED THE PUBLIC TREASURY TO SUPPORT A POLITICAL PRESS. AND OTHER ACTS IN TOTAL VIOLATION OF ALL THEY PROFESSED AND PROMISED.

This same consistent party which his friend and colleague had so zealously defended, in 1840 had much to say about reducing the expenditures of the government, reforming abuses, and "proscribing proscription." It was a most hollow-hearted profession; and though heard at all times and places upon their lips, they did not deign to practice as they preached. Look at facts, and then say, if all shame were not lost, would not these men hang their heads and blush? The report of the Secretary of the Treasury shows the whole expenditures of the year 1840—being the last year of Mr. Van Buren's administration—to have been

\$22,351,147

The same report of December, 1843, shows the expenditures of the administration in 1841, to have been 26,877,059

Showing a difference between the last year of a democratic administration and the first year of a federal one of

\$4,525,912

Over FOUR AND A HALF MILLIONS of dollars greater in 1841 than 1840. This was the practical commentary upon what was so often promised. Such were precept and example.—Such was their relief to labor and tax-payers.

At the very same time this administration were increasing the public expenditures, they were also creating a "national debt." Mr. Morse, his colleague, had said there was a debt of about thirty millions of dollars when his friends came into power. Now was that true, and how did he make up that debt? In an original manner, truly. He took the appropriations for the year 1841, and called it a public debt. That was a novel view indeed. Now he (Mr. H.) would refer to the report of the Secretary, the official document, and there the facts could be learned:

Statement of the public debt on the 3d of March, 1841.

Treasury notes outstanding	\$6,607,361 54
Debt of the corporate cities of the District of Columbia, assumed by the U. States, 1,440,000 00	
The (old) funded and unfunded debt, viz:	
The funded debt, principal	\$53,174 38
interest	243,105 36
	206,280 74

The unfunded debt, registered certificates, 26,622 44

Do. Treasury notes issued during the late war	4,475 00
Do. Mississippi certificates	4,320 00
	35,417 53
	\$6,370,059 81

TREASURY DEPARTMENT, REGISTER'S OFFICE, Dec. 23, 1841.

It would therefore be seen that, in fact, the only debt which was connected with the administration of Mr. Van Buren, was little more than six and a half millions of dollars for outstanding treasury notes. The whole amount of debt was a little over eight millions of dollars, and of that sum, it would be seen, near two millions were for the debts of the District of Columbia and the old funded and unfunded debt. These were the facts. Now examine the report of the Secretary of the Treasury, December 11, 1843, and see what was the national debt then, and how much it was increased in less than three years:

Statement of the debt of the United States, Dec. 1, 1843.

1. Of the old funded debt, being unclaimed principal and interest, returned from the late loan offices	\$208,000 34
2. Outstanding certificates and interest to 31st December, 1798, of the (old) unfunded debt payable on presentation	24,214 20
3. Treasury notes issued during the late war, payable on presentation	4,317 44
4. Certificates of Mississippi stock payable on presentation	4,320 00
5. Debt of the corporate cities of the District of Columbia assumed by the United States, viz:	
Of the City of Washington	\$200,000 00
Alexandria	10,000 00
Georgetown	210,000 00
	410,000 00

6. Loans, viz:

Under the act of 21st July, 1841, redeemable 1st Jan. vary, 1845	\$5,072,070 00
Under the act of 15th April, 1842, redeemable	

1st January, 1863 8,343,886 03
Under the act of 3d March,
1849, redeemable 1st
July, 1853. 7,000,000 00
21,016,886 91

Outstanding treasury notes:
Of the several issues pri-
or to 31st Aug. 1853. 3,917,725 92
Of the issue issued and paid
out under act of 3d
March, 1849. 247,600 00
4,165,325 92

*This sum includes \$28,300 in the hands of the ac-
counting officers.
T. L. SMITH, Register.
Register's Office, December 1, 1843.

The sum total of the debt was, \$26,472,946 99
December 1, 1843
The sum total of the debt was, 8,479,069 81
March 4, 1841.

Increase by the reform-promis-
ing, retrenching, and labor-
protecting party, in two years,
and nine months. 818,369 890 18

Forget not, but let it be proclaimed, that dur-
ing all this time, that same party had clear and
undisputed majorities in each branch of Congress.
Again: This same "consistent" reform pro-
mising party, at the commencement of the 27th
Congress, voted to elect, and did contract with
principles of twenty per cent. less than what had
been previously paid. This was a reform in fact,
but what did the sequel prove? At midnight,
on the last day of the session, in the very face of
their own votes, promises, and contract, and with-
out so much as a request from any one to do so
before them, they restored said 20 per cent. or,
in plain truth, they voted to give to Messrs. Gales
& Seaton and Thomas Allen, \$49,005 13, a per-
fect gratuity to those men, and, as he had no sort
of doubt, designed to support political presses.
This might be set down as another measure of re-
form, protection to labor, and relief from taxes.
It was a perfect parallel in the consistency of that
party, which came in to "proscribe proslavery,"
and made removals in six months nearly equal to
all that had taken place in the twelve preceding
years. Of many officers the removals were more
numerous in that six months than in the twelve
years preceding.

This same party, too, in its extreme love for
the tax-payer, and in perfect illustration of the
true manner in which they would cherish the pro-
ducing and laboring classes, voted TWENTY-FIVE
THOUSAND DOLLARS to the widow of President
Harrison, who was at the very time possessed of
a fortune. The constitution of the country—the
great shield which protected the citizen from the
enrichment of power—did not justify or admit
of such a gift. While this large sum was given
to one already in affluence, how many poor and
industrious men were compelled to labor under
a scorching sun, and amidst the frosts of winter,
to contribute their portions of all these sums so
squandered? One single act, and he (Mr. H.)
had done. In 1840, when the public mind
was excited, and reason was drowned in buffoon-
ry, what foolish, yet, to many honest minds, ef-
fective, cries were sent up through all the coun-
try, in relation to the extravagant manner in
which the President's house was furnished? It
was degrading to humanity that such depravity
should have been witnessed; and honest men
should blush at such a course. Did not this fact
appear upon the records of Congress, who would,
for one moment, believe that the same party
which had used this miserable and degrading
humbug, with which to deceive the people, had
appropriated six THOUSAND DOLLARS for addi-
tional furniture, as one of its first acts? He
did not complain of the appropriation at all; but
he called the attention of the House and the
country to it for the purpose of holding up in
scorn all who would pursue such a course. With
what deep indignation should a community of
honest men, thus cheated and deceived, treat
such a party! Such acts should and would
weigh upon them like an incubus; and, while
facts were remembered, he had no fear of a res-
toration of such men and such measures. Cheat-
ed and grossly deceived, an honest and intelli-
gent community had been, by specious promises
of all kinds; but when all, all, all had been fal-
sified by their acts, cheated they would not be a-
gain.

But (said Mr. H.) my colleague asks, What are
democratic principles? He would tell him:
No national bank, with its blighting power.
No national debt in a time of peace.
No squandering or giving away the public re-
venue, again to tax the industry of the country to
replace it.

A trifling of low duties, so laid as to operate e-
qually upon all.
No assumption of State debts.

A law to secure the public treasury from loss
and bank speculation.

And an economical and frugal administration
of the affairs of government—such economy as
has begun in this House, by cutting down the
appropriation bills for the whole expenditures of
government to about seventeen millions of dol-
lars; and retrenchment by this very army bill
would be about one-half a million of dollars—
against which his colleague and the federal party
generally voted. The navy and civil retrench-
ment bills, he trusted, too, would be renewed, and
another half million of dollars saved on those
measures, notwithstanding the opposition from
the other side of the House.

Such were the measures of the democratic party.
For them they would rally, in sunshine and
in storm. With them they would go to the coun-
try; and upon their merits he would rest the is-
sue. He would unfold them to the public eye,
that all should see and understand. Around these
principles he would rally with zeal and energy
—with no flag to float above their heads but that
of our own stars and stripes—and with no battle-
cry but that of Our country! and *Eato perpetua!*

After having stood by the Democratic
party as their candidate for Governor and Lieu-
tenant Governor in twenty-one successive elections,
Gov. Morton, deeming being again a candidate
for the office of Governor of this State.

The Democratic State Convention met at
Worcester on Wednesday, and nominated the
Hon. HENRY H. CHILDS for Lieutenant Governor.
Vox Populi.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT.

PARIS, AUGUST 27, 1844.

"The great popular party is already rallied almost en masse
around the banner which is leading the party to its final triumph.
The few that still lag will soon be rallied under its simple folds.
On that banner is inscribed: FREE TRADE; LOW DUTIES;
NO DEBT; SEPARATION FROM BANKS; ECONOMY; RETRENCHMENT;
AND STRICT ADHERENCE TO THE CONSTITUTION. Victory in such a cause will be great and glorious;
and if its principles be faithfully and finally adhered to,
after it is achieved, much will be redound to the honor of those
by whom it will have been won; and long will it perpetuate
the liberty and prosperity of the country."—*Centinel.*

Democratic Principles. Federal Whig Principles.
A NATIONAL BANK.
A NATIONAL CURRENCY.
A NATIONAL DEBT.
A NATIONAL BLESSING.

Protest. "I am in favor of
a Tariff for Revenue—such an
one as will yield a sufficient re-
venue to the Treasury to defray
the expenses of the Government
economically administered. In
adjusting the details of the re-
venue Tariff I have heretofore
advocated such moderate dis-
criminating duties as would
produce the amount of Revenue
needed, and at the same time
afford reasonable incidental pro-
tection to our own home in-
dustry. "I am opposed to a Tariff
for Protection merely, and not
for Revenue." Polk's Letter to
J. K. Kane.

A SOUND AND SAFE NA-
TIONAL CURRENCY.
AN HONEST AND ECONOMI-
CAL ADMINISTRATION OF
THE GOVERNMENT.
THE ANNEXATION OF TEXAS
AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE CON-
sidering the rights of other Na-
tions, and the obligations such a
step may impose upon us.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

Opposition to the DISTRI-
BUTION POLICY; a measure
which is both unconstitutional and
unjust.

Opposition to a UNITED
STATES BANK.

Opposition to a GOVERN-
MENT DEBT in time of peace.
Such are some of the leading
Democratic measures—measures
which, if they were adopted, and
if such details as a sound and
safe currency, an honest and
economical administration, the
annexation of Texas, the im-
mediate possession and occupa-
tion of Oregon, the one term
principle, and the distribution
policy, were carried into effect,
the country would be brought
into a state of peace and pros-
perity, and the people would be
able to enjoy the fruits of their
own industry and labor.

THE IMMEDIATE POS-
SESSION AND OCCUPA-
TION OF OREGON.
THE ONE TERM PRIN-
CIPLE.

CLAY'S TWO FACES ON TEXAS.

Our readers will remember the two letters of Mr.
Van Buren and Mr. Clay written last April on the
subject of admitting Texas into this Union. Mr.
Clay's letter was written to the Editors of the Nation-
al Intelligencer and published in that paper. No one
can fail to remember the uncompromising opposition
to Annexation which was fully set forth and illus-
trated in that letter. His reasons for going against an-
nexation as there presented were because it would
provoke a war with Mexico—because it would be
acting in bad faith towards that country, and because
if Texas were annexed three-fifths of her territory
would be made into free States. This was the North-
face of Mr. Clay's letter. The last reason is not the
one which would operate on Northern men, to in-
fluence their minds against Texas. Far otherwise. But
this is not the first time in which his means were not
adapted to the end nor his premises to the conclusion.
At any rate he was opposed to Annexation—strenu-
ously opposed to it, in that letter.

How does this letter compare with one he has since
written to a friend in Virginia and with the open de-
clarations of his friends. In a letter dated Ashland Ju-
ly 1st, and written to the editor of the "Monitor" he
says:

"As to the idea of my courting the Abolition-
ists, it is perfectly absurd. No man in the
United States has been half so much abused by them
as I have been. I consider the Union a great po-
litical partnership, and that new members ought not
to be admitted into the concern at the immediate haz-
ard of dissolution. PERSONALLY, I COULD
HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE ANNEXA-
TION OF TEXAS.

This is Mr. Clay's peculiarly Southern face on this
subject; and it explains—fully explains the reason
why Mr. Lyons one of the Whig Electors of Vir-
ginia should have written as follows to the Rich-
mond Whig. He says "In Mr. Clay's ability, expe-
rience and patriotism we have (that is the South!) the
surest guarantee that Texas will be annexed in the
most secure and honorable manner." And again "I
have not seen why we may not have whig measures,
whig men, and Texas too!" Who will now say that
Whiggery is opposed to the Annexation of Texas?

But here is additional testimony that Mr. Clay and
his friends are in favor of Annexation—The wire pull-
ers know it. The South know it. Southern men
know full well that Clay could not get an electoral
vote South of Mason's and Dixon's line if he was not
in favor of annexation. Therefore Mr. Rives, who
has now become the mouthpiece of Clay and the
Whigs, said in a speech a few days ago as reported
in the Richmond Whig:

"Mr. Clay was for ultimate annexation! The
whole course of Mr. Clay showed this and he was
willing to leave it in the hands of Mr. Clay."

There you have it, gentlemen Whigs. Let us hear
no more about Clay's opposition to Annexation. At
the North, even here, in Old Oxford, he is said to be
opposed to annexation. How much longer will his
friends here, attempt to reconcile his inconsistencies—
his double dealing—and his barefaced hypocrisy?

UNION—CONCESSION.—We are happy to learn that
our Democratic friends are uniting with great zeal in
the support of J. D. McCrater the nominee for Member
of Congress from this District. We are right glad to
find that there is a determination to give up all local
feelings, all self interest and all sectional views for the
sake of the cause; and for the general welfare. If we,
the Democrats of Oxford, will but unite in the
present contest we can carry all before us—we can al-
lance all the native and Foreign Whiggery there is
among us, and secure the greatest Democratic major-
ity for President—Governor, and all our State Of-
ficers of any county in the State. Unity of action on
the part of the Oxford towns, which, with Lincoln,
make up the 4th Congressional District will secure a
Democratic Representative of Congress, in spite of all
opposition. Disunion will as surely defeat this desir-
able object. Let us unite then, all as one, and come
to the rescue. He who will not do this at the present
crisis, will lose, at least, one excellent opportunity
for showing his magnanimity; and will but faintly il-
lustrate the life of a true and faithful Democrat. Most
of our friends here and around us are wide awake.
They are determined to do their best. They glory in
the cause. They desire nothing of a civil nature so
much as they desire its complete success. Wake up,
then! "Bears," wake up! and make "Old Oxford"
the "Banner County."

Who Mass Meetings. It is worthy of special re-
mark that the Coon Mass Meetings in this State are
almost all of them total failures. For proof refer to
the one at this place where Gov. Kent in a speech of
fifteen minutes length was as effectual in dispersing
the audience as a charge of shot would be in scatter-
ing a flock of pigeons. The Coons are in the corn
and they can't be gathered into flocks. When they
do come out they will be *Polks*.

ANOTHER CHANGE.—A young man in this town
will this fall, for the first time vote the Democratic
ticket. He has learned by extensive observation and
close study of History that Clay belongs in principle
and in acts to the Anti-Republican, the Aristocratic
Party.—The same Party which passed the Alien and
Sedition laws and that he now favors similar acts by
promoting the unallowed measures of the Native A-
merican Party.

MEXICO AND TEXAS.—An army of 15,000 men is
now on its march from Mexico to Texas. It will prob-
ably arrive at Texas some time in November. The
Mexicans rejoice at the rejection of the Annexation
Treaty. The markets of Vera Cruz &c. which had
been partially closed in consequence of the Treaty
have been fully opened to the United States and are
now as active as ever.

FARMERS READ THIS!
Henry Clay, in a letter to Messrs. Branham
and Rieding, of Georgia, under the date of July
31st, 1843, said:

"AGRICULTURE NEEDS NO PRO-
TECTION."

FIRST PRINCIPLES MOST IMPORTANT.

We have lately, more than ever been convinced of
the fully and imprudence of following up and correct-
ing the Whig Electioneering arguments now in use;
and of defending ourselves from vile and slanderous
imputations. It is a task we have been engaged in
already too long. We done it in '40 and found to our
sorrow that falsehoods could travel faster than the
truth; and that we occupied so much time in defend-
ing ourselves from the false assertions of our enemies,
that we neglected to attack them, and force them into
more honorable warfare. The Whigs charged us
then with "extravagance," "Standing Army," "Gold
spoils," "Defauling," &c.—all of which was as false
as it was villainous. They knew it was false and their
acts have since proved it. We Democrats were all
such credulous good fellows and thought every Whig
was sincere in his allegations, that, instead of calling
them rascals and blockheads as they had us, we went
very quietly to work and in a very systematic manner
attempted to refute these charges. The result was,
we were defeated without having discussed before the
people on either side a single fundamental principle of
Government.

What are the whigs doing now but acting over the
same scenes, with the same scenery and the very same
actors. They talk about "Protection," "Texas," "Tar-
iff," and charge us with being against "Protection,"
against the "man ufacturer," against the "Mechanic,"
against our "Country," etc., and we, like dunces, so
far admit the charges as to attempt their refutation.
We ought not to treat such allegations—such slan-
derous charges with the least degree of seriousness.
They should be passed by as unworthy of notice; as
too contemptible for serious consideration. The con-
test is now raging as in '40—the Whigs charging us
with something—some little, unimportant, trivial non-
entity and we setting up our defence. In all this there
is no fundamental principles at stake—"Protection,"
is harped upon to get votes. It is a trap set for the
unsuspecting. It is gotten up to throw dust in the eyes
of the community. It is a most consummate scheme of
villainy gotten up by the capitalists and their conju-
gators to oppress and grind the great body of the people.

There is no principle about it. No reason or judg-
ment used by our opponents in its discussion. We
have repelled charges long enough; we will now act
on the principle of Offence as well as Defence.

We therefore charge Henry Clay and his associ-
ates with the determination to subvert and destroy
the plainest and best established principles of a free
government. It was a principle of Jefferson and al-
ways has been a principle of every Democrat since
Adam, that government derives its power from the
consent of the governed; and that the sovereignty is
in the people—a majority of whom may alter or abol-
ish an existing form of government, at pleasure. This
is a fundamental principle of all Democratic govern-
ments. It is among the first lines of the Declaration
of Independence. It is as true and unalterable as the
"Throne of the Great Eternal. Time, place, circum-
stance, condition, council or decision cannot alter it.
This principle lies beyond the reach of cavil. It can-
not be denied or refuted.

Henry Clay and his party have denied this principle.
They have denied its truth and applicability to
Republican Government. They have openly set at
nought this vital element of our Republic; and aban-
doned this most essential feature of American Inde-
pendence, one which was sought for and maintained
at a great expense of blood and treasure. Mr. Clay
instead of maintaining this principle says, when speak-
ing of the suffrage party in Rhode Island that "As-
serting the principle that every people have a right to
alter, modify or change their government when they
think proper—an abstract principle which, with cau-
tious limitations, may be true—Dorr proceeded to set
up a new government" &c. &c. He says this principle
may be true. He does not admit its truth, as is plain
to be seen. He virtually denies it, because he mere-
ly says it may by possibility be true, with cautious lim-
itations.

Who would trust a man of such principles with the
control of a free government? He is a dangerous
man. He has no confidence in the people. He
would not trust them to modify their own government;
therefore, he has forsaken and perverted and rejected
a principle of the most vital and necessary importance
to free government.

MADAWASKA SCHOOLS.
By the first quarterly Report of Mr. Madigan—Su-
perintendent of Schools in Madawaska settlement, we
learn that amid all the discouraging circumstances of
his mission, he has been able to establish several
schools, which are in a flourishing condition. The
territory which is included in the "Madawaska Settle-
ment" is very extensive, much more so than we had
supposed. According to the Report, it commences
on the St. John's River at the east line of the State
and extends along this river as far as the mouth of
the Little Black river, a distance of eighty-five miles.
Over this region Mr. Madigan was appointed Super-
intendent. By a Resolve of the last Legislature he
was required to visit this Settlement for the promotion
of education among its inhabitants.

He has succeeded in establishing four Schools—
The first has twenty-five scholars, their ages varying
from 5 to 14 years. The Teacher of this school is
to receive \$20 per month, board inclusive. The second
school has about 30 scholars, ages varying from
four to thirty-seven years. The Teacher to this
school has the same wages as the first. The third
school has about 52 scholars, ages varying from 4 to
30. The Teacher is to receive \$16 per month, board
inclusive. The fourth school has about 30 scholars,
and the Teacher is to have \$20 per month. These
schools include a range of settlement on the St. John
extending about 30 miles. The scholars study En-
glish chiefly, a few of them study French. The in-
habitants are mostly French and speak the French lan-
guage.

The Books in use are "Primer of English Lan-
guage," "Spelling Book," "Young Reader," "History
of U. S. with supplement," "The Child's Arithmetic,"
"Smith's practical and mental Arithmetic." Some
Elementary French Books are likewise in use.

We should judge by the report of Mr. Madigan
that he was indefatigable in securing the object of his
appointment; and that the manner in which he had
executed his trust thus far was worthy of all praise.

OUR CAUSE IS ONWARD!
WHIGERY IS FALLING! DOWN DOWN!
Glorious Election News!
KENTUCKY.

This state gave Harrison in '40 about 25,000 ma-
jority. The majority for the Federal candidate for
Governor will not be over 4,000 at the late election—
making a Democratic gain of
20,000 votes!

INDIANA.
In 1840 the Whigs carried this State for Harrison
by a about 12,000 majority; and had a large majority
in both branches of the Legislature. In the late
election the Democrats have a majority in the Senate
and a majority of 2,500 on the popular vote. The
Democrats would have had a majority in the House if
the State, had not been so apportioned by the whigs,
as to secure a majority of Representatives against the
will of the people. Democratic gain in this State
since '40 about 14,000!

LOUISIANA
has a Democratic majority of about 1000, being a gain since
'40 of about 4,000.

NORTH CAROLINA.
The Whig majority in this State in '40 was about 12,000 on
the Presidential campaign. The Whig Candidates have only
been saved by a little over 2,000 majority. Democratic gain
since '40 8,000! votes.

If Federalism is wounded in the house of its friends how
will it fare when abroad among its foes?
LOOK HERE! AND SEE!
ALABAMA
has secured a Democratic Senate—a Democratic House and a
Representative to Congress in the place of Dixon H. Lewis—
all by large and increased majorities.

MISSOURI.
Returns incomplete. Sufficient however have been received
to know that the Legislature in both branches, will be decid-
edly Democratic; not four out of five of the Representatives to
Congress are sure to be Democrats.

ILLINOIS.
This State has outdone herself. She has elected Democrats
in large majorities to both the House and Senate, and Six of
the seven Congressmen. Her majority for Van Buren in '40
was some over 1000. Her majority for the Democracy now,
is nearly 10,000! So we go on, from conquering to con-
quer.

In every one of these States we have done better than last
year or year before, when the Democracy were triumphant ev-
erywhere.

NOW MAKE WAY FOR MAINE.

DEMOCRATIC MASS MEETING AT NORWAY
VILLAGE, SEPT. 3d.
A mass meeting of the Democracy of "Old Oxford,"
and vicinity, will be held at the above time and place.
The following distinguished gentlemen have been in-
vited to address the people on the occasion, viz—Messrs.
Fairfield, Clifford, Hamlin, Bradbury, and others.

Need we say to every Democrat, come? No. For,
to merely give notice of such a meeting is sufficient to rally
every Democrat in the Can. ty. The people are going
to be there in full strength. We can see an one who
says he is not going. The people of "Old Oxford" in
council! What a magnificent spectacle! Who would
fail to see the sight? *Amen but Come!*

Our plan is, that all the Democrats from the North
and East appear on Paris Hill at half past nine o'clock,
ready to start for Norway at that time in procession—
Wake up, then, Buckfield, Turner, Hatfield, Livermore,
Peru, Dixfield, Mexico, Canton, Andover, Rumford,
Woodstock, and North and East Paris. A Band of Mu-
sic will be here to enliven the scene and give warmth
to your reception. Wait for us at South Paris.

THINGS WE SHOULD LIKE TO KNOW.
Did the Whigs, in 1840, raise an electioneering fund
and deposit it in Portland?

Were two Whigs of this place appointed to receive
and use the funds for this town?

Did one of these gentlemen receive about \$60 of this
fund, viz the portion assigned to Norway and Paris?

Did he ever pay over any portion of this fund to Nor-
way? or to the other gentleman?

If he never did, we would inform him that the Whigs
of Norway now stand in need of it, and would like to
have him pay it over, forthwith.

Another Query—Has a certain Whig, who is a native
of this place, but who resides in one of the Western
States, except every fourth year, lately received from
the Whig electioneering fund \$300, and gone to Aroos-
took, and other Counties in the East to buy up voters?

RANT AND WHIGGERY.
A gentleman in this place in '40, said that if Harrison
should be elected he should be worth \$3000; but if Van
Buren should be elected he should not be worth a cent.
Webster lately told his Whig brethren that they must
not let the "scenes of '40 ever again."

According to this statement, one of his observations fol-
lows in the South part of this town, who is a stock-
holder in the Factory at that place, said to another stock-
holder, who is a Democrat, that their Factory would not
be worth more than half as much if Volk was elected as
it would be if Clay was elected.

The man who said he should be worth \$3000 if Har-
rison was elected, is worth just as much

object of the
he had
plato.

OWN!

000 ma-
didate for
election—

Harrison
a majority
the late
the Senate
ote. The
House if
the whigs,
against the
this State

a gain since

ut 12,000 on

friends how

House and a

Il. Lewis

been received

nd Democrats

and Six of

Baren in '40

ocracy now,

erier to con-

er than last

riumphant er-

E.

NORWAY

ld Oxford,

and place,

been invy

2—Messrs.

thers.

No. For to

ent to rent

are going

one who

ld Oxford,"

in

Who would

in the North

ine o'clock,

procession—

Livermore,

and of Mv

ivine warmth

aria.

KNOW.

meeting land

ed to receive

at the Whigs

would like to

is a native

the Western

received from

to Aroos-

up voters

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

worth a cent.

at they make

at Harrison

but Van

